(Rel.95--703 Pub.605)

FORM 9-64

Practitioner's Docket No.

P-1027

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Christian Farby, et.al.

09/830,527 Application No.: Group No.: 1651

Filed: **April 26,2001**

Examiner: Afremova, Vera -

For: MICROBIAL ACTIVATION OF LAYER SILICATES

Confirmation No: 9706 -

Mail Stop RCE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED

TECH CENTER 1600 2900

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311). or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

Date: (144.20, 2003

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee' Mailing Label No. ER280888923US (

(mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

NCLY (CAATA)

Holly Adams

Itype or print rame of person certifying:

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703tft. Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Appressee" (5.1.10) or facs mile transmission (§ 1.6idl) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for pater't ferm adjustment calculations

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action for a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

3.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091-50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$_____

TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. T	his r	quest is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):			
i	Q	Prior to abandonment of the application			
ii.		Payment of the issue fee			
		Prior to payment of issue fee			
		Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted	i		
iii.		Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interference	es		
		☐ A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed			
NOT		uch a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filther RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.	ng		
iv.		Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 14 or Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146	1 5		
		Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action			
		Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated			
		ENCLOSURES			
3. E	nclos	d herewith is/are:			
WAF	RNING	If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).	on		
	An	formation disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)			
		Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)			
X	An	mendment			
內	Nev	arguments			
	New evidence in support of patentability				
	Oth	r:			

FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4. Thi	is application	is on bel	half of:						
[Small ent	tity (and s	status is sti	ll as smal	entity) .			\$	375.00
{	Other tha	an a smal	ll entity					9	\$750.00
			FEE !	FOR CLA	IMS				
NOTE:	"The fee for c	ontinued ex	camination unc	der 6 1 114	(& 1 17(e)) de	nes not incl	ude a	dditional c	laime foo
	(cf. 1.53 (d)(3)(Jannonare	ianns iee
	37 CFR 1.53(d	l)(3): "The fil	ling fee for a c	ontinued pro	osecution app	olication file	d unde	er this para	agraph is:
		•	as set forth in	•					
	of any amer any amendr to be entere	ndment acco ments under ed in the co	ee due based o ompanying the r § 1.116 uner ontinued prose	e request for intered in the ecution appli	an application application application."	on under thi ation which	is para applio	agraph and cant has r	d entry of requested
5. The	e fee for clair	ns (37 C.	.F.R. § 1.16	i(b)-(d)) ha	is been ca	lculated a	as sh	own be	low:
	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col.	3) SMAL	L ENTITY		OTHER SMALL	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSL PAID FOR	Y PRESE		ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	. 26	MINUS	 25	77	1 x\$9	\$	-	x\$18 =	\$ 18.00
INDEP.	. 2	MINUS	3	=	x\$42 ~	\$		x\$84 =	\$
FIRST	PRESENTATION	OF MULTI	PLE DEP. CLA	AIM	+ \$140	- \$		+ \$280 =	\$
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	18.00
** If *** If TI be	the entry in Col the "Highest No the "Highest No. he "Highest No. ox in Col. 1 of a	 Previously Previously I prior amen 	y Paid for" IN y Paid For" IN Paid For" (Tota ndment or the	THIS SPACI THIS SPAC al or Indep.)	E is less than E is less that is the highe	n 20, enter ' n 3, enter " st number f	3."		propriate
		(c	omplete (a)	or (b), as	applicable	e)			
(a) '	□ No additi	onal fee i	is required.						
	,			OR					
(b) [Total add	itional fee	e required is	s \$ 18.	00				
		(Paguagt	for Continued	Cuaminatio	- /DCE\ /27 (14. 70	643	4 - (0)

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

EXTENSION OF TIME

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

6. The proceedings herein are for a patent application, and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) apply.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4), for the total number of months checked below:

Extension for	Fee for other than	Fee for		
(months)	small_entity	small entity		
☐ one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
\Box , two months	\$ 410.00	\$ 205.00		
☐ two months☑ three months	\$ 930.00	\$ 465.00		
four months	\$ 1,450.00	\$ 725.00		

Fee: \$ 930.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for _____ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ 930.00

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and authorization to pay the necessary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

TOTAL FEE(S) DUE

WARNING: The fee for continued examination under § 1.114 may not be deferred. 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(f).

7. The total fee(s) due is/are:

Continued Prosecution Fee (§ 1.17(e)) \$ 750.00Fee(s) for additional claims (if any) (§ 1.16(b)-(d)) \$ 18.00Extension of time fee (if any) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) \$ 930.00

Total Fee(s) Due \$1698.00

PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please pay the fee(s) for this continu	ued examination application	as follows:			
Check is attached for the sur	n of	\$ 1,698.00			
☐ Charge Account	the sum of	\$			
☐ Charge Credit Card the sum	of	\$			
(Credit Card Payment Form (I	PTO-2038) attached)				
Please charge any required addition § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) to	onal fee(s) for § 1.17(e),	§ 1.16(b)-(d) and/or			
Account 03-3420					
☐ Credit Card (Credit Card Paye	ment Form (PTO-2038) atta	ched).			
INVE	NTORSHIP				
NOTE: Any change of inventors must be via the 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR §	1.48. See Notice of March			
9. This application as amended names	as inventors:				
the same inventors as previous	usly designated for the claim	ms.			
fewer than the inventors prev this request for the deletion of are not inventors of the inven	the name or names of the p	atement accompanies erson or persons who			
□ a person not named previous § 1.48 is/has separately: □		tition under 37 C.F.R.			
DEFERRAL	OF EXAMINATION				
10. A request for deferral of examination accompanies this request for contine examination.					
	CAC	(06			
Reg. No.: 31,945	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER				
Tel. No.: (502) 589-4215	Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practition	ner)			
Customer No.:	400 West Market St	reet, Suite 2200			
	Louisville, Kentucky 40202				

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)

(Text continued on page 9-313)

Express Mail No.: ER 280888923 US

1 1003 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Fabry, Christian, et al.

Art Unit: 1651

Serial No. 09/830,527

Examiner: Afremova, Vera

Filing Date: April 26, 2001

Attorney Docket No. P-1027

For: MICROBIAL ACTIVATION OF

LAYER SILICATES

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2003

TECHAN

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Background

The applicants entered the United States National Stage by filing a patent application on April 26, 2001, as application serial no. 09/830,527. After a first Office Action rejection dated May 22, 2002, the USPTO "finally" rejected all claims of the application in an Office Action dated February 24, 2003, asserting that the claims of the application were either anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,414,524 or were obvious over U.S. Patent No. 3,414,524 taken in consideration with one of the following references: U.S. Patent No. 2,813,821, Kusnierova, et. al., U.S. Patent No. 1,752,721 or Grudev et. al., alone or in combination. Thus, the

hasis for all rejections of the claims of the application is premised upon the teaching of U.S. Patent No. 3,414,524.

In this Preliminary Amendment the applicants have amended Independent Claim 17 to overcome the rejection by the USPTO. The applicants assert that all claims are now allowable over U.S. Patent No. 3,414,524, alone or in combination with the other references cited.